Case 3292

Nasutitermes Dudley, 1890, Microcerotermes Silvestri, 1901 and NASUTITERMITINAE Hare, 1937 (Insecta, Isoptera): proposed conservation

Michael S. Engel

Division of Entomology, Natural History Museum, Snow Hall, 1460 Jayhawk Boulevard, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045–7523, U.S.A.

Kumar Krishna

Division of Invertebrate Zoology, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West at 79th Street, New York, N.Y. 10024–5192, U.S.A.

Abstract. The purpose of this application, under Article 23.9.3 of the Code, is to conserve the generic names *Nasutitermes* Dudley, 1890 (and the family-group name based upon it, NASUTITERMITINAE Hare, 1937) and *Microcerotermes* Silvestri, 1901 for two well-known groups of ecologically and agriculturally important termites. The names *Nasutitermes*, the largest genus of termites in the world, and *Microcerotermes* are threatened by the little-known but possibly synonymous name *Eutermes* Heer, 1849 whose suppression is proposed. The type species of *Nasutitermes* has been generally confused and we propose that the Commission should designate *Eutermes* costalis Holmgren, 1910 as its type species in accordance with universal taxonomic usage.

Keywords. Nomenclature; taxonomy; Isoptera; NASUTITERMITINAE; Nasutitermes; Microcerotermes; Eutermes; Nasutitermes costalis; Microcerotermes strunckii; termites.

1. Heer (1849, p. 32) described *Eutermes* as a subgenus of *Termes* Linnaeus, 1758 and included in it five fossil species (three rock compressions and what were believed to be two Baltic amber inclusions): *Termes pristinus* Charpentier, 1843; *T. obscurus* Heer, 1849; *T. croaticus* Heer, 1849; *T. pusillus* Heer, 1849; and *T. debilis* Heer, 1849. No species was originally selected as the type species of *Eutermes*.

2. Hagen (1858) redescribed the above five species, transferring two to other subgenera, *T. pristinus* to *Termes* sensu stricto and *T. pusillus* to *Kalotermes* (under the unjustified emendation *Calotermes*), while expanding *Eutermes* to include numerous living species in addition to the three remaining fossil species, *T. obscurus*, *T. croaticus* and *T. debilis*. Hagen (1858, p. 207) further stated that he had examined the type of *T. debilis* and found that the fossil was not in 'Bernstein' (amber), but in gum copal, and identified the fossil specimen as identical to a Recent species, which he then described from specimens collected from 'Porto Rico'. To restate, Hagen (1858, p. 207) redescribed *T. debilis*, based not on the fossil specimen but on Recent specimens from 'Porto Rico', and indicated that the fossil specimen was identical

with these (see also Banks, 1920, p. 8). Thus, subsequent decisions that *T. debilis* is a species of *Microcerotermes* based on Hagen's assertion (Hagen's description does not actually allow for such an identification) are incorrect (e.g. Snyder, 1949; Constantino, 2002), since Hagen's description is not of the type specimen.

3. Dudley (1890, p. 158) proposed the genus-group name Nasutitermes for the nasute termites but did not include any species. Banks (1918, p. 665) was the first author to explicitly include species in Nasutitermes. Banks subsequently (1920, p. 69) designated Termes morio Latreille, 1805 as the type species of Nasutitermes (which he wrongly stated to be a new genus), but this was not an originally included nominal species. Moreover, Latreille (1805, p. 69) had clearly indicated that he was not proposing a new specific name but was identifying his material as T. morio Fabricius, 1793, just as he had done the year before (Latreille, 1804, p. 60). Latreille had misidentified his specimens as conspecific with Fabricius's material; Hagen (1858) was the first to note this error but considered Latreille as having thereby authored a new species as T. morio. Termes morio, therefore, cannot be used as an available name for Latreille's taxon (Article 49) and the species remained undescribed until Holmgren (1910a, p. 293) proposed the first available name as Eutermes costalis. Based on Hagen's (1858, p. 200) redescription of Latreille's material, Emerson (1925) identified Latreille's taxonomic species as conspecific with E. costalis. Thus, Sands (1965, p. 16) was correct when he asserted that T. morio Latreille, 1805 did not exist as a valid taxon and could not be a homonym of Fabricius's name, as erroneously considered by Emerson (1925). However, Sands was incorrect in stating that the misidentified taxonomic species could not be selected as the type of Nasutitermes, as Article 70.3.2 allows for such a designation. Under Article 70.3, because the type species was misidentified by Banks (1919, 1920), yet another explicit subsequent designation is required: either the nominal species (i.e. T. morio Fabricius, as used by Banks and currently placed in Coptotermes Wasmann, 1897; such a selection would make Nasutitermes a junior synonym of Coptotermes) or the taxonomic species actually involved (i.e. E. costalis). Emerson (1925, p. 379) and authors following him (e.g. Snyder, 1949; Prashad & Sen-Sarma, 1959; Constantino, 2002) cannot be considered as having subsequently designated the type species of Nasutitermes as all of them misused T. morio as an available name dating from Latreille (1805). Thus, no type species designation is currently valid for Nasutitermes. In view of the confusion arising from the double misidentification of T. morio, we propose that the Commission should, following current usage, designate Eutermes costalis Holmgren, 1910a as the type species of Nasutitermes. The non-nasute termite T. morio Fabricius, 1793 is a junior synonym of Hemerobius testaceus Linnaeus, 1758, currently Coptotermes testaceus. Hare (1937, p. 462), believing E. costalis (as T. morio) to be the type species, established the family-group name NASUTITERMITINAE for Nasutitermes and allied nasute genera.

4. Wasmann (1897, p. 151) restricted *Eutermes* to nasute species and selected *T. morio* Latreille, 1805 (a misuse as noted above) as the type species of *Eutermes*. This type species designation is invalid, as *T. morio* was not a species originally included in *Eutermes*.

5. Silvestri (1901, p. 3) proposed the generic name *Microcerotermes* for a group of non-nasute termites in the TERMITINAE with *Termes strunckii* Sörensen, 1884 (p. 2) as the type species by monotypy.

6. Holmgren (1910b, p. 146), apparently following the understanding of earlier authors (e.g. Müller, 1873; Froggatt, 1896, 1897; Wasmann, 1897) that *Eutermes* constituted nasute termites, established the name EUTERMITINAE for *Eutermes* and related nasute taxa. Holmgren (1910a, 1910b, 1912, 1913) treated the genus *Eutermes* (with a number of subgenera) in a more restricted sense than Hagen (1858) by including nasute termite species only.

7. Banks (1919, p. 482) examined one of Hagen's Recent specimens of *T. debilis* from Puerto Rico, identified it as a species of *Microcerotermes*, designated *T. debilis* as the type species of *Eutermes* and thereby relegated *Microcerotermes* to synonymy since *Eutermes* had priority. Further, in agreement with Hagen (1858), he indicated that *T. debilis* is not strictly a fossil species although he did not see the fossil type specimen of *T. debilis*. Banks reiterated these decisions in 1920 (p. 8). Banks's designation of a type species is valid despite the failure to confirm the identity of *T. debilis*.

8. Emerson (1925, 1928) stated that Banks (1919, 1920) was correct in confining the name *Eutermes* to *T. debilis*. Snyder (1949) and subsequent authors have similarly confined *Eutermes* to *T. debilis* (e.g. Nel & Paicheler, 1993; Constantino, 2002). Heer's amber specimen has not been seen since Hagen's time and, moreover, was an imago, a caste which does not easily offer definitive taxonomic characters for generic determination. Furthermore, Banks based his identification of *T. debilis* as a *Microcerotermes* on Hagen's Recent Puerto Rican material. Unless Heer's original specimen (supposedly in Zurich but at present untraceable) can be examined there is no way of knowing whether it belongs to *Microcerotermes*, *Nasutitermes*, or any other termite genus.

9. Should *T. debilis* prove to be a nasute termite, the name *Eutermes* would take precedence over *Nasutitermes*. In addition, the family-group name based on *Eutermes* would take precedence over NASUTITERMITINAE for *Nasutitermes* and allied nasute termite genera. Alternatively, should *T. debilis* prove to be a species of *Microcerotermes* the name *Eutermes* would take precedence. Indeed, Heer's *T. debilis* is probably a species of *Microcerotermes*, but in the absence of the type material such an identification remains speculative.

10. Regardless of the identity of Heer's missing type specimen, the genus-group name Eutermes threatens the stability of two well-known groups of ecologically and agriculturally important termites, Nasutitermes and Microcerotermes. Furthermore, should *Eutermes* prove to be a nasute and thereby have priority over *Nasutitermes* (the largest genus of termites in the world), the family-group name EUTERMITINAE would replace the universally employed name NASUTITERMITINAE. The names Nasutitermes and NASUTITERMITINAE have been universally used for the nasute termites since 1937 and in an extensive body of literature (e.g. Lefeuve, 1987; Cookson, 1988; Thorne et al., 1994; Krishna, 1996; Roisin & Pasteels, 1996; Atkinson & Adams, 1997; Hoare & Jones, 1998; Constantino, 1998; Thompson & Hebert, 1998; Noirot, 2001; Buschini & Leonardo, 2002). Similarly, Microcerotermes has been employed as a valid name since 1901 and universally used in the literature for taxa related to Microcerotermes strunckii (e.g. Holmgren, 1910b; Kistner & Doty, 1988; Roisin, 1990; Adams, 1991; Akhtar et al., 1991; Leponce et al., 1996; Tyagi & Sen-Sarma, 1997; Roisin & Pasteels, 2000; Coronel & Porcel, 2002). Presently involved in the completion of a revised world catalog of the termites, we propose that in the interest

of stability the names *Eutermes* and EUTERMITINAE be suppressed or set aside and placed on the Official Indexes of Invalid and Rejected Generic and Family-Group Names in Zoology, respectively.

11. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature is accordingly asked:

- (1) to use its plenary power:
 - (a) to suppress the generic name *Eutermes* Heer, 1849 for the purposes of the Principle of Priority but not for those of the Principle of Homonymy;
 - (b) to set aside all previous fixations of type species for the nominal genus Nasutitermes Dudley, 1890 and to designate Eutermes costalis Holmgren, 1910a as the type species;
- (2) to place on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology the following names:
 - (a) Nasutitermes Dudley, 1890 (gender: masculine), type species by designation in (1)(b) above Eutermes costalis Holmgren, 1910a;
 - (b) *Microcerotermes* Silvestri, 1901 (gender: masculine), type species by monotypy *Termes strunckii* Sörensen, 1884;
- (3) to place on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology the following names:
 (a) *costalis* Holmgren, 1910a, as published in the binomen *Eutermes costalis* (specific name of the type species of *Nasutitermes* Dudley, 1890);
 - (b) *strunckii* Sörensen, 1884, as published in the binomen *Termes strunckii* (specific name of the type species of *Microcerotermes* Silvestri, 1901);
- (4) to place on the Official List of Family-Group Names in Zoology the name NASUTITERMITINAE Hare, 1937 (type genus: *Nasutitermes* Dudley, 1890);
- (5) to place on the Official Index of Invalid and Rejected Generic Names in Zoology the name *Eutermes* Heer, 1849, as suppressed in (1)(a) above;
- (6) to place on the Official Index of Invalid and Rejected Family-Group Names in Zoology the name EUTERMITINAE Holmgren, 1910b (based on a generic name suppressed in (1)(a) above).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to V. Krishna and C.B. Boyko for comments on this petition. This work was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation, U.S.A. (DEB-9870097 to K. Krishna and D.A. Grimaldi).

References

- Adams, E.S. 1991. Nest-mate recognition based on heritable odors in the termite Microcerotermes arboreus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A., 88: 2031–2034.
- Akthar, M.S., Ahmad, M., Arshad, M. & Shahid, A.S. 1991. Effect of container size and group size on survival and wood consumption of *Microcerotermes championi* Snyder (Isoptera: Termitidae). *Punjab University Journal of Zoology*, 6: 1–6.
- Atkinson, L. & Adams, E.S. 1997. The origins and relatedness of multiple reproductives in colonies of the termite Nasutitermes corniger. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, series B, Biological Sciences, 264: 1131–1136.
- Banks, N. 1918. The termites of Panama and British Guiana. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, 38: 659–667.
- Banks, N. 1919. Antillean Isoptera. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology, 62: 475–489.

- Banks, N. 1920. A revision of the Nearctic termites, part 1. Taxonomy. Bulletin of the United States National Museum, 108: 1–85.
- Buschini, M.L.T. & Leonardo, A.M.C. 2002. Biometrics studies of caste development in Nasutitermes coxipoensis (Isoptera; Termitidae). Sociobiology, 40: 465–477.
- Constantino, R. 1998. Catalog of the living termites of the New World (Insecta: Isoptera). Arquivos de Zoologia, São Paulo, 35: 135–231.
- Constantino, R. 2002. Notes on the type-species and synonymy of the genus *Nasutitermes* (Isoptera: Termitidae: Nasutitermitinae). *Sociobiology*, **40**: 533–537.
- Cookson, L.J. 1988. The site and mechanism of ¹⁴C-lignin degradation by Nasutitermes exitiosus. Journal of Insect Physiology, 34: 409-414.
- Coronel, J.M. & Porcel, E. 2002. Morphometric analysis of soldiers of *Microcerotermes* strunckii (Isoptera: Termitidae, Termitinae). Sociobiology, 40: 307–316.
- Dudley, P.H. 1890. The termites of the 1sthmus of Panama-part 11. Transactions of the New York Academy of Sciences, 9: 157–180.
- Emerson, A.E. 1925. The termites of Kartabo Bartica District, British Guiana. Zoologica, 6: 291–459.
- Emerson, A.E. 1928. Termites of the Belgian Congo and the Cameroon. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, 57: 401–574.
- Fabricius, J.C. 1793. Entomologia systematica emendata et aucta. Secundum classes, ordines, genera, species. Adjectis synonimis, locis, observationibus, descriptionibus, vol. 2. viii, 519 pp. Proft, Hafniae.
- Froggatt, W.W. 1896. Australian Termitidae. Part 11. Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales, 21: 510–552.
- Froggatt, W.W. 1897. Australian Termitidae. Part 111. Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales, 22: 721–758.
- Hagen, H.A. 1858. Monographie der Termiten. Linnaea Entomologica, 12: 1-342, 459-461.
- Hare, L. 1937. Termite phylogeny as evidenced by soldier mandible development. Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 37: 459–486.
- Heer, O. 1849. Die insektenfauna der Tertiärgebilde von Oeningen und von Radoboj in Croatien. Zweiter Theil: Heuschrecken, Florfliegen, Aderflügler, Schmetterlinge und Fliegen. iv, 264 pp. Engelmann, Leipzig.
- Hoare, A. & Jones, D.T. 1998. Notes on the foraging behaviour and taxonomy of the southeast Asian termite *Longipeditermes longipes* (Termitidae: Nasutitermitinae). *Journal of Natural History*, 32: 1357–1366.
- Holmgren, N. 1910a. Versuch einer Monographie der amerikanischen Eutermes-Arten. Mitteihungen aus dem Naturhistorischen Museum, Hamburg, 27: 171–325.
- Holmgren, N. 1910b. Isoptera. The Percy Sladen Trust Expedition to the Indian Ocean in 1905 under the leadership of Mr. J. Stanley Gardiner. *Transactions of the Linnean Society of London, series 2, Zoology*, 14: 135–148.
- Holmgren, N. 1912. Termitenstudien. 3. Systematik der Termiten. Die Familie Metatermitidae. Kungliga Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar, 48: 1–166.
- Holmgren, N. 1913. Termitenstudien. 4. Versuch einer systematischen Monographie der Termiten der orientalischen Region. Kungliga Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar, 50: 1–276.
- Kistner, D.H. & Doty, J. 1988. A new genus and two new species of athetine staphylinids from the nests of *Microcerotermes* in Sulawesi and Brunei with a cladistic analysis of their relationships (Coleoptera, Isoptera, Termitidae). *Sociobiology*, 14: 29–47.
- Krishna, K. 1996. New fossil species of termites of the subfamily Nasutitermitinae from Dominican and Mexican amber (Isoptera, Termitidae). American Museum Novitates, 3176: 1–13.
- Latreille, P.A. 1804. Nouveau dictionnaire d'histoire naturelle, générale et particulière, des Crustacés et des Insectes, vol. 3. xii, 467, [1] pp. Dufart, Paris.
- Latreille, P.A. 1805. *Histoire naturelle, générale et particulière, des Crustacés et des Insectes*, vol. 13. xii, 432 pp. Dufart, Paris.
- Lefeuve, P. 1987. Replacement queens in the Neotropical termite *Nasutitermes coxipoensis*. Social Insects, 34: 10–19.

- Leponce, M., Roisin, Y. & Pasteels, J.M. 1996. Reproductive mechanisms and dynamics of habitat colonization in *Microcerotermes biroi* (Isoptera: Termitidae). *Ecological Entomology*, 21: 178–184.
- Müller, F. 1873. Beiträge zur Kenntniss [sic] der Termiten. Jenaische Zeitschrift für Medizin und Naturwissenschaft, 7: 333–358, 451–463.
- Nel, A. & Paicheler, J.-C. 1993. Les Isoptera fossiles. État actuel connaissances, implications paléoécologiques et paléoclimatologiques [Insecta, Dictyoptera]. *Cahiers de Paléontologie*, 1993: 103–179.
- Noirot, C. 2001. The gut of termites (Isoptera). Comparative anatomy, systematics, phylogeny. 11.—Higher termites (Termitidae). Annales de la Société Entomologique de France, 37: 431-471.
- Prashad, B. & Sen-Sarma, P.K. 1959. Revision of the termite genus Nasutitermes Banks [sic] (Isoptera: Termitidae: Nasutitermitinae) from the Indian region. Indian Council of Agricultural Research Monograph, 10(23): 1–66.
- Roisin, Y. 1990. Queen replacement in the termite *Microcerotermes papuanus*. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 56: 83–90.
- Roisin, Y. & Pasteels, J.M. 1996. The nasute termites (Isoptera: Nasutitermitinae) of Papua New Guinea. *Invertebrate Taxonomy*, 10: 507–616.
- Roisin, Y. & Pasteels, J.M. 2000. The genus *Microcerotermes* (Isoptera: Termitidae) in New Guinea and the Solomon Islands. *Invertebrate Taxonomy*, 14: 137–174.
- Sands, W.A. 1965. A revision of the termite subfamily Nasutitermitinae (Isoptera, Termitidae) from the Ethiopian region. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Entomology, 4: 1–172.
- Silvestri, F. 1901. Nota preliminare sui Termitidi sud-americani. Bolletino dei Musei di Zoologia ed Anatomia Comparata della Reale Università di Torino, 16: 1-8.
- Snyder, T.E. 1949. Catalog of the termites (Isoptera) of the world. Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections, 112: 1-490.
- Sörensen, W. 1884. Traek af nogle sydamerikanske insecters biologi. *Entomologisk Tidskrift*, 5: 1–25.
- Thompson, G.J. & Hebert, P.D.N. 1998. Probing termite social systems through allozyme and mtDNA analysis: A case study of *Nasutitermes nigriceps* and *Nasutitermes costalis* (Isoptera, Termitidae). *Insectes Sociaux*, 45: 289–299.
- Thorne, B.L., Haverty, M.I. & Collins, M.S. 1994. Taxonomy and biogeography of *Nasuti*termes acajutlae and *N. nigriceps* (Isoptera: Termitidae) in the Caribbean and Central America. Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 87: 762–770.
- Tyagi, B.K. & Sen-Sarma, P.K. 1997. Morphology of the spermatozoa of *Microcerotermes beesoni* Snyder, with reference to termite phylogeny (Isoptera: Termitidae). Uttar Pradesh Journal of Zoology, 17: 245–246.
- Wasmann, E. 1897. Termiten von Madagaskar und Ostafrika (Voeltzkow, Wissenschaftliche Ergebnisse der Reisen in Madagaskar und Ost-Afrika, 1889–1895). Abhandhungen der Senckenbergischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft, 21: 137–182.

Acknowledgement of receipt of this application was published in BZN 60: 178.

Comments on this case are invited for publication (subject to editing) in the *Bulletin*; they should be sent to the Executive Secretary, I.C.Z.N., Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, U.K. (e-mail: iczn@nhm.ac.uk).